



**“Doctor, I Have Pain Between My L4 and L5”  
Dealing With False + Imaging Findings And Google Dx**

---

Ramon Cuevas-Trisan, MD

## Title & Affiliation

---

Ramon Cuevas-Trisan, MD

Associate Chief of Staff for Education & Research

VA Medical Center

West Palm Beach, FL

# Disclosures

---

- No financial relationships to disclose
- I work for the Department of Veterans Affairs and my presentation does not represent the views of the VA or the US Federal Government

# Learning Objectives

---

- Illustrate the importance of the history and physical examination in the evaluation of some common painful musculoskeletal disorders deemphasizing the use imaging studies
- Explain the pitfalls of establishing diagnoses of chronic painful conditions based on imaging studies
- Summarize the existing medical literature that demonstrate the abundance of false positive imaging findings in common chronic musculoskeletal conditions
- Discuss the importance of good communication and rapport with our patients in dealing with the epidemic of misinformation on the web



# Abnormalities found on scans in asymptomatic people

1,211 - age 20 - 70  
**Disk Bulging = 87%**  
Nakashima et al. (2015). Spine


51 men - age 40 - 70  
**Partial R.C Tear = 22%**  
**Bursal thickening = 78%**  
**Overall abnormalities = 96%**  
Girish et al. (2011). Am J Roent

Systematic review - 2,114  
asymptomatic hips  
**CAM Deformity = 37%**  
**Pincer deformity = 67%**  
**Labral Injury = 68%**  
Frank et al. (2015). Arthroscopy

Systematic review - 3,110  
**Disk Degeneration =**  
**37% (20 y/o) to 96% (80 y/o)**  
Brinjikji et al. (2015) Am J Neuroradiol

Systematic review 5,397 knees  
(>40yrs / <40yrs)  
**OA = 19 - 43% / 4 - 14%**  
**Cartilage Defect = 43% / 11%**  
**Meniscal Tear = 19% / 4%**  
Culvenor et al. (2018). BJSM

48 - mean age 47  
**Mortons Neuroma = 54%**  
Symeonidis et al. (2012). Foot Ankle Int  
  
320 MRIs - Median age 51  
**ATFL pathology = 37%**  
O'Neil et al. (2017). Foot Ankle Ortho



# Basics of the Evaluation

---

- Chief Complaint
  - Acute, chronic
  - Trauma (acute/cumulative)
- PMH
- PE – objective findings
- KEY: Clinical, not imaging diagnosis

# Medical Evaluation

---


## Physical Examination

- Inspection
- ROM
- Reflexes
- Dermatomal sensation
- Weakness
- Tenderness

# Medical Evaluation

Diagnosis, should generally

- Be supported by Hx and Exam
- Make medical sense based on known pathophysiology



# Testing

---

## *Ancillary Tests*

- Plain films
- CT Scan
- MRI
- Electrodiagnostic Studies

all support clinical diagnosis; not diagnostic themselves in practical terms

# Ancillary Tests

---

Rarely needed before initial management

## Exceptions

- “Significant” Trauma → plain films
- Progressive objective neurological deficit → MRI
- Suspected Fracture/Dislocation → plain films
- Hx of tumor (i.e. prostate, lung, breast, etc) → plain films
- Significant constitutional symptoms (fever, chills, unintended weight loss) → bloodwork, plain films

# Red Flags



Red Flag	Potential Underlying Condition as Cause of LBP
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• History of cancer</li><li>• Unexplained weight loss</li><li>• Immunosuppression</li><li>• Urinary infection</li><li>• Intravenous drug use</li><li>• Prolonged use of corticosteroids</li><li>• Back pain not improved with conservative management</li></ul>	Cancer or infection
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• History of significant trauma</li><li>• Minor fall or heavy lift in a potentially osteoporotic or elderly individual</li><li>• Prolonged use of steroids</li></ul>	Spinal fracture
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Acute onset of urinary retention or overflow incontinence</li><li>• Loss of anal sphincter tone or fecal incontinence</li><li>• Saddle anesthesia</li><li>• Bilateral or progressive weakness in the lower limbs</li></ul>	Cauda equina syndrome or other severe neurologic condition

Bigos, et al. Acute Low Back Problems in Adults. Clinical Practice Guideline No. 14. AHCPR Publication No. 95-0642. Rockville, MD: Agency for Health Care Policy and Research, Public Health Service, U.S. DHHS. Dec 1994.

# ACR Low Back Pain Imaging Guidelines 2021

---

Acute, Subacute or Chronic LBP w/ or w/o radiculopathy, **no red flags**  
**and no prior Tx**

**No imaging recommended**

ACR Appropriateness Criteria – Low Back Pain; Rev 2021



# ACR Low Back Pain Imaging Guidelines 2021

---

- Subacute or CLBP w/ or w/o radiculopathy – surgery or intervention candidate with persistent or progressive symptoms during or following 6 wks of medical management:
  - Imaging including x-rays, MRI, CT or Bone Scan/SPECT – may be appropriate
- LBP with suspected Cauda Equina
  - MRI usually appropriate; also CT
- LBP w/ or w/o radiculopathy when there is prior surgery – with new or progressive symptoms/findings
  - X-rays or MRI usually appropriate; CT may be appropriate

# ACR Low Back Pain Imaging Guidelines 2021

---

- LBP w/ or w/o radiculopathy – low velocity trauma, elderly, osteoporosis, chronic steroid use
  - Plain films, MRI/CT w/ contrast
- LBP w/ or w/o radiculopathy – suspected CA, infection, immunosuppression
  - MRI usually appropriate; CT / plain films may be appropriate

**Variant 7:**

Low back pain with or without radiculopathy. One or more of the following: suspicion of cancer, infection, or immunosuppression. Initial imaging.

Procedure	Appropriateness Category	Relative Radiation Level
MRI lumbar spine without and with IV contrast	Usually Appropriate	○
MRI lumbar spine without IV contrast	Usually Appropriate	○
Radiography lumbar spine	May Be Appropriate (Disagreement)	☢☢☢
CT lumbar spine with IV contrast	May Be Appropriate	☢☢☢
CT lumbar spine without IV contrast	May Be Appropriate	☢☢☢
CT myelography lumbar spine	May Be Appropriate	☢☢☢☢
MRI lumbar spine with IV contrast	Usually Not Appropriate	○
Bone scan whole body with SPECT or SPECT/CT complete spine	Usually Not Appropriate	☢☢☢
Discography and post-discography CT lumbar spine	Usually Not Appropriate	☢☢☢
CT lumbar spine without and with IV contrast	Usually Not Appropriate	☢☢☢☢
FDG-PET/CT whole body	Usually Not Appropriate	☢☢☢☢☢

# Ancillary Tests


---

## *Electrodiagnostic Tests*

➤ Yield when there is no objective weakness or numbness is nearly zero!!

### Exceptions:

- ✓ Focal Neuropathies
- ✓ NMJ disorders



# Spine MRIs

---

- Abnormalities often correlate weakly with pain
- Very large # of false ++
- Significant # of individuals with back pain w/o identifiable pathology

# Which One Is Scariest?

---



# Imaging Reports

---

- Patients with copies of reports
  - Asking providers to address imaging, not the condition
  - Affects treatment outcomes
    - Provider starts from a disadvantaged position
      - Trust/credibility
    - Secondary gain
      - Claiming pain/disability

# Medical Wording in Reports Can Be Scary...

---

- Patients with copies of reports
  - Asking providers to address imaging, not the condition
  - Affects treatment outcomes
    - Provider starts from a disadvantaged position
      - Trust/credibility
      - Secondary gain
        - » Claiming pain/disability



COMPARISON: CT lumbar spine 6/30/2017, no prior MRI.

---

FINDINGS:

VERTEBRAE: Chronic L1 compression fracture again noted. No acute fracture identified. Heterogeneous marrow noted.

VERTEBRAL ALIGNMENT: No spondylolisthesis. Mild levoscoliosis.

CORD: Normal position and signal intensity of the conus medullaris.

L1/L2: Mild diffuse disc bulge with facet ligamentum flavum hypertrophy. No significant stenosis.

L2/L3: No complete loss of disc space height. There is diffuse disc bulge with facet ligamentum flavum hypertrophy. Scoliosis is noted. There is mild central and severe bilateral foraminal encroachment.

L3/L4: Diffuse disc bulge with facet ligamentum flavum hypertrophy. Moderate central stenosis. Moderate bilateral foraminal encroachment.

L4/L5: Diffuse disc bulge with facet ligamentum flavum hypertrophy. Moderate central stenosis. Severe left and moderate right foraminal encroachment.

L5/S1: Mild diffuse disc bulge with mild ligamentum flavum hypertrophy. No significant stenosis.

SOFT TISSUES: Unremarkable.

IMPRESSION:

*Multilevel multifactorial degenerative changes most pronounced at L2-L3, L3-L4, and L4-L5.*

# What Should We Do?

---

- Correlate **symptoms and signs** with ancillary tests

# The Infamous Discs

## Pitfalls

Abnormal MRI in asymptomatic subjects:

– Lumbar- about 33% had abnormalities  
    < 60 y/o: 21%    >60 y/o: 57%

– Cervical- about 20% had abnormalities  
    narrow/degenerated discs  
    < 40 y/o: 25%    >40 y/o: 60%



S. Boden's articles: *J Bone & Joint Surg* 1990

Jensen MC, et al. *N Engl J Med* 1994


# “I Have Pain Between L4 and L5”

## Key

Is there neurological involvement?

## Some Pitfalls

- Buttock and trochanteric pain - often related to back (facets, SIJ, discs, etc.), not hip
- Nerve involvement generally causes pain referral to leg, radiating distal to the knee
- Many serious radiculopathies are painless
- Pure sensory radiculopathies generally not detected with EDX





# Back Pain Don'ts

---

- Don't order any test that will not change your management

So.....

- Avoid ordering MRI's when clinical findings do not warrant
- Herniated/Bulging/Degenerated Discs
- Mean nothing w/o concordant symptoms and exam findings

# More Back Pain Don'ts

---

- No evidence that activity is harmful
- Prolonged rest leads to:
  - increased psychological distress and depression
  - loss of the work habit / progressive loss of job opportunity
  - decreasing probability of ever returning to work
  - increased difficulty in starting rehabilitation
- Increased activity:
  - promotes bone & muscle strength
  - improves disc and cartilage nutrition
  - increases systemic endorphins / reduce sensitivity to pain

Deyo RA, et al. How Many Days of Bed Rest For Acute LBP? *N Eng J Med* 1986

# Joint Pain

---

Is it really coming from the joint?

- Pain referral patterns
- Soft Tissue pathology

# Common Referral Patterns

---

- Visceral to shoulder
  - Chest – angina
  - Diaphragmatic irritation (liver, spleen, gallbladder)
- Neck to shoulder and vice-versa
  - Radiculopathy
  - CTS
  - Facets
- Knee to Hip and vice-versa
- Lumbosacral to leg



# A joint is not a joint... is not a joint....

---


- Shoulder Pain – several joints
  - GH
  - AC
  - ST
- Soft Tissues
  - **Shoulder**: Rotator Cuff, Biceps tendon, Glenoid labrum, capsule, bursae
  - **Knee**: cruciate ligaments, collateral ligaments, patellofemoral, menisci, several bursae



# Hip Pain

## Causes

- Hip Joint – Arthritis/AVN
- Low Back – referred pain due to facets/SI joint
- Trochanteric Bursitis
- Impingement
- Fracture – associated w/ a fall/pathologic condition



# Hip Pain

---

## *Symptoms*

- Pain— key is Location
  - Outer Groin - Hip joint, Knee (referred)
  - Lateral thigh- Trochanter bursa/ back
  - Posterior – SIJ / facets
- Quality
  - Sharp, Achey, Throbbing → Arthritis
  - Burning, Tingling → Neuropathic / Spine related
- Gait disturbance / Limp
- Loss of motion / Stiffness
- Leg length inequality



**WWW.....**

Legal  
problem



Lawyer

Build a house



Architect

Fix your car



Mechanic

Health  
problem



Internet

Doctor

Neighbours/  
Relatives



Miraculous  
drinks/  
ointments



Self-Prescribed  
drugs



TV/Newspapers






*"You can't list your iPhone as your primary-care physician."*

# Here's Another Scary Creature.....

---





Copyright 2006 by Randy Glasbergen.  
www.glasbergen.com






**"I already diagnosed myself on the Internet. I either have  
three left kidneys, recurring puberty, or Dutch Elm disease."**

I LOOKED UP MY SYMPTOMS ON THE  
INTERNET AND I FOUND OUT THAT I'M  
DEAD AND IT'S YOUR FAULT!



# Calling Dr. Internet: Analyzing News Coverage of Cyberchondria

Han Zheng   & Edson C. Tandoc Jr. 


Published online: 23 Sep 2020

 Download citation  <https://doi.org/10.1080/17512786.2020.1824586>



 Full Article

 Figures & data

 References

 Citations

 Metrics

 Reprints & Permissions

Get

## ABSTRACT

Introduced and popularized by the news media, **cyberchondria refers to excessive online health information searches associated with escalation of health anxiety.** It has since received attention

# First the Good News....

---

- Computerized Diagnostic Decision Support (CDDS) programs
- Computer algorithms called “**Symptom Checkers**”
- Demand for this is high and growing; in the US, 1 in 3 people reported resorting to the internet for self-diagnosis....
- 2019 study – nearly 50% of the patients had investigated their symptoms with an online search engine before going to the ED


# Online Symptom Checkers

---

There are a number of SCs out there

- Serve 2 main functions:
  - facilitate self diagnosis (list of diagnoses, usually rank ordered by likelihood)
  - assist with triage
- Potential benefits:
  - can encourage patients with life threatening problems (stroke or MI) to seek emergency care
  - can reassure and recommend staying home regarding non-emergent problem that does not require a medical visit
- Some have been systematically tested
- Testing generally involves standardized cases, but.....

**Operators are generally medical providers, not lay persons**

The background features a collage of overlapping research paper pages. Visible text on the papers includes 'JAMA Network | Open', 'Page 1 of 11', 'RESEARCH', 'Research Letter', 'Assoc', 'th', 'Evaluation', 'triage: audit', 'OPEN ACCESS', 'Hannah L Semigran research assistant', 'instructor', 'natural scientist', 'Ateev Mehrotra', and 'Gott, MD, MPH'.

### What is already known on this topic


The public is increasingly using the internet for self diagnosis and triage advice, and there has been a proliferation of computerized algorithms called symptom checkers that attempt to streamline this process

Despite the growth in use of these tools, their clinical performance has not been thoroughly assessed

### What this study adds

Our study suggests that symptom checkers have deficits in both diagnosis and triage, and their triage advice is generally risk averse

Figure. Planned Level of Care Before and After Use of an Online Symptom Checker



# Now: The Not So Good....

---

- Misdiagnosis by physicians occurs in approximately 5-20% of outpatients
- Interest in this area has increased alongside advances in AI and wider availability of clinical data
- Originally designed for doctors, symptom checkers are designed to directly assist patients by creating differential diagnoses and advising on the need for further care
- Great potential to improve diagnosis, quality of care, and health system performance  
However, if poorly designed or lacking rigorous clinical evaluation can put patients at risk and likely increase the load on health systems

Fraser et al. Safety of patient-facing digital symptom checkers. *The Lancet* 2018



# Human vs Machine

---

- Human Dx is a web- and app-based platform on which physicians generate differential diagnoses for clinical vignettes
- First direct comparison of diagnostic accuracy, physicians vastly outperformed computer algorithms in diagnostic accuracy (84.3% vs 51.2% correct diagnosis in the top 3 listed)
- Despite physicians' superior performance, they provided the incorrect diagnosis in about 15% of cases

Semigran et al. Comparison of Physician and Computer Diagnostic Accuracy. *JAMA Internal Medicine* 2016

# Current State of Symptom Checkers

---

- Most SCs – no greater triage capability than average layperson
- Might improve early detection of emergencies but might also needlessly increase resource utilization in healthcare
- Laypersons sometimes require support in deciding when to rely on self-care but it is in that very situation where SCs perform the worst

Schmieding ML, et al. Benchmarking Triage Capability of Symptom Checkers Against that of Medical Laypersons: Survey study. *J Med Internet Res* 2021



SUBSCRIBE

SIGN IN

Opinion

Read the Latest

A DUMB TAX INCREASE

JOBLESS BENEFITS HURT HIRING

TED CRUZ: NO MORE WOKE MONEY

IF DEMOCRATS PA

OPINION | COMMENTARY

## Dr. Google Will See You Now

Online self-diagnosis can be helpful. But leave the treatment to your physician.

By Marc Siegel

Oct. 29, 2017 2:33 pm ET




SHARE



TEXT

37





Opinion


# Dr. Google Is a Liar

Fake news threatens our democracy. Fake medical news threatens our lives.

By Haider Warraich

Dr. Warraich is a cardiologist.

Dec. 16, 2018



**BASIC RESEARCH**

# **Most YouTube Videos About Carpal Tunnel Syndrome Have the Potential to Reinforce Misconceptions**


Goyal, Ria; Mercado, Amelia E.; Ring, David MD, PhD;  
Crijns, Tom J. MD [Author Information](#) 

Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research: April 11,  
2021 - Volume Latest Articles - Issue -

10.1097/CORR.0000000000001773

doi: 10.1097/CORR.0000000000001773





Daraz, et al. Can patients trust online information? *J Gen Int Med* 2019; 34(9):1884-91

# www..... Not Always Useful

---

- Many times: operator dependent
  - Specific terms/words
  - Not putting 2+2 together
- Search terms use algorithms
  - Biased in various ways
    - Technically / Intellectually
    - Politically
    - Commercially - \$\$

# Take Home Points.....

---

- *Don't order any test that will not change your management*
- *Avoid ordering imaging studies when clinical findings do not warrant*
- *Counsel patients about using online tools – these can be useful but may also be quite misleading*
- *Be available and be a resource - otherwise **Dr. Google** will replace you  
.....and that's NOT good*



© Randy Glasbergen / glasbergen.com



**"The usual stuff — a new virus from the Joker, spyware from the Penguin, malicious code from Cat Woman, another phishing scheme from the Riddler."**

---

# Thanks!

Ramon.Cuevas-Trisan@va.gov